27th August 19267

(b) Rupees 6 lakhs.

Excluding the provision for expenditure in England and payments to be made to other Governments, the existing allotment has been provisionally distributed as follows:—

			RS.
All-India Services			 76,000
Gazetted officers			 1,16,700
Non-gazetted officers		and the party	1,16,700 2,70,000

The distribution of allotments between gazetted and non-gazetted officers has been made in proportion to the amounts required to meet their demands.

- (c) The allotment of Rs. 1,16,700 for gazetted officers was sufficient only for the applications received up to 10th November 1925. In all these cases medical certificates have been called for; and if any of the applications under reference is rejected or withdrawn, fresh cases of gazetted officers covering the amounts released, will be taken up for disposal. A demand for a supplementary grant for Rs. 3.50 lakhs to enable all pending cases to be disposed of this year will be placed before the Legislative Council.
- (d) The commutation of pensions of officers who are not governed by the Civil Pensions (Commutation) Rules framed by the Secretary of State, is subject to the condition imposed by the Local Government that the expenditure can be met from the sanctioned budget grants. The Secretary of State's Rules, which apply to All-India Services, contain no such condition.
- (e) The views quoted in the question have not been communicated to this Government. But as stated in the answer to clause (c), the Government are asking the Council to vote an additional grant of Rs. 3:50 lakks which it is hoped will be sufficient to dispose of all pending applications.

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR: "When is the supplementary demand for Rs. 3.5 lakhs going to be made, Sir?"

The hon, Mr. T. E. More: —"It is proposed to do so in the present meeting of the Council."

Civil Justice.

Upper grade posts in the Judicial department, North Arcot district.

*2360 Q.—Mr. R. VERRIAN: Will the hon the Law Member be pleased to state whether it is a fact that all posts in the upper grade, Rs. 60—4—80 and above in the North Arcot district, Judicial Department, unlike other districts, have been filled by Brahmans?

A .- The facts are as stated as regards the North Arcot district.

Mr. R. Veerlan — "May I know why the communal Government Order was not given effect to especially in the Judicial Department in the North Arcot district?"

[27th August 1926

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:—" As regards that department, the District Judge writes that there have been no non-Brahman clerks in his district so well qualified as to justify their being given a rapid promotion over the heads of their seniors."

Mr A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"Have not the Government issued an order that the two chief ministerial posts in the district offices should not be held by members of the same community?"

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:—"There is such an order in the Revenue Department, but I am not aware of any such order as regards the Judicial Department."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"Well in that case, assuming, as the hon, the Law Member says that there are no qualified people in that district, what is there to prevent their transferring qualified people from other places to restore the existing inequality?"

Mr. R. Veerian:—" May I know whether the Government have called for the reports stating the names of persons, the services they have put in, the educational qualifications and other tests they have passed so as to find out whether there are actually suitable persons or not belonging to other communities..."

The hon, the PRESIDENT :- "That is a separate question."

Mr. R. Veerian:—"I wanted to know if the statement of the District Judge was verified or not by the Government?"

The hon the PRESIDENT:—"Order, order. That must be put as a separate question."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"May I ask the hon, the Law Member if the vacancy should necessarily be filled up by suitable postings of subordinates of the same office or district?"

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:—"Generally, appointments are made from the same district but there is no rule to prevent the appointment of persons from outside the office or district."

On Irrigation.

Submersion of lands due to the change of the Cauvery left flood bank.

*2361 Q.—Mr. T. M. KARAYANASWAMI PILLAI: Will the hon, the Law Member and the hon, the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the left flood bank of the Cauvery at Kambarasampettai
was thrown hundreds of yards to the south of the original flood bank;

(b) whether in consequence a number of acres became part of the river bed of the Cauvery; .

(c) whether the Collector originally published a declaration that all the lands submerged would be acquired;

(d) whether the Government subsequently changed it and limited the acquisition only to the lands actually covered by the new flood bank;

(e) whether there have been numerous representations claiming damages and compensation for the lands submerged; and

(/) whether the Government propose to pay such compensation and demages?